## ...Decisions...Decisions...Decisions



These notes indicate the decisions taken at this meeting and the officers responsible for taking the agreed action. For background documentation please refer to the agenda and supporting papers available on the Council's web site (<a href="www.oxfordshire.gov.uk">www.oxfordshire.gov.uk</a>.)

The decisions take effect at the time and date specified, unless before that time written notice is given in accordance with the Council's Scrutiny Procedure Rules requiring the decision to be called in for review by the relevant Scrutiny Committee.

If you have a query please contact Sue Whitehead (Tel: 07393 001213; E-Mail: sue.whitehead@oxfordshire.gov.uk)

	d 19 September 2018 me effective at 5.00pm on 26 Septembe	er 2018				
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED DECISIONS AC						
1. Apologies for Absence	Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Eddie Reeves and Steve Harrod.	DLG (A. Newman)				
2. Declarations of Interest	There were none.					
3. Minutes						
To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 17 July 2018 (CA3) and to receive information arising from them.	The Minutes of the Meeting held on 17 July 2018 were approved and signed as an accurate record.	DLG (D. Miller)				
4. Questions from County Councillors	Councillor Laura Price to Councillor Judith Heathcoat and Councillor Howson to Councillor Lorraine Lindsay-Gale.					
5. Petitions and Public Address	There were none.					
6. Service & Resource Planning Report - 2019/20 - September 2018						
Cabinet Member: Finance						
Forward Plan Ref: 2018/053 Contact: Katy Jurczyszyn, Strategic						
Finance Manager (Finance, Strategy & Monitoring) Tel: 07584 909518						

List published Decisions will (unless called in) becom	19 September 2018 ne effective at 5.00pm on 26 Septembe	er 2018
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
This report is the first in the series on the Service & Resource Planning process for the forthcoming year which will culminate in Council setting a Corporate Plan for 2021; a budget for 2019/20; a mediumterm plan to 2022/23 and capital programme to 2028/29 in February 2019. This initial report sets the context and the starting point for the process.		
The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to:	Recommendations Agreed.	DF (K. Jurczyszyn)
(a) note the report;		
(b) approve the Service and Resource Planning process for 2019/20; and		
(c) approve a four-year period for the Medium Term Financial Plan and ten-year Capital Programme to 2028/29.		
7. Business Case to Support Significant Capital Investment in the Council's Assets		
Cabinet Member: Leader Forward Plan Ref: 2018/134 Contact: Alexandra Bailey, Director of Capital & Investment Delivery Tel: 07768 027257; Lorna Baxter, Director of Finance Tel: 07393 001218; Owen Jenkins, Director for Operations Tel: 07554 103542		
To approve the inclusion of significant investment in the Council's assets as part of the proposed Capital Programme to 2029, the funding for which will be included in the proposed Budget for 2019/20 and Medium Term Financial Plan to 2022/23 which will be considered by Cabinet in January 2019.		
The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to:	Recommendations Agreed.	DCID

•	ed 19 September 2018 ome effective at 5.00pm on 26 Septemb	er 2018
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERE	D DECISIONS	ACTION
	to vill ed m 23	
8. Treasury Management 2017/18 Outturn  Cabinet Member: Finance Forward Plan Ref: 2018/118 Contact: Donna Ross, Principal Finance Manager – Treasury Pension Tel: (0186 323976  The report sets out the Treasure Management activity undertaken in the financial year 2017/18 in compliance with the CIPFA Code of Practice. The report includes Debt and Investment activity Prudential Indicator Outturn, Investment Strategy, and interest receivable are payable for the financial year.	ry ne th ort ry, nt	
Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to note the report, and to RECOMMEND Council note the Council's Treasu Management Activity in 2017/18.	to	DF (D. Ross)
9. New Operating Model for Oxfordshire County Council  Cabinet Member: Leader Forward Plan Ref: 2018/127 Contact: Robin Rogers, Strategy Manage Tel: 07789 923206	r	

CABINET - TOESD	AY, 18 SEPTEMBER 2018	
•	d 19 September 2018 ne effective at 5.00pm on 26 Septemb	er 2018
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
The Operating Model is a detailed description of how the Council will work in the future, with the key aims of putting better outcomes for residents and the delivery of the Thriving Communities Vision at the centre of all plans and operations.		
Development activity since March has produced a detailed design for the Operating Model and the report seeks Cabinet approval for the proposal.		
The draft Cabinet Report and Annexes, have been considered by Performance Scrutiny Committee and Audit and Governance Committees on 6 September and will be considered by full Council through a themed debate on 11 September. The comments from Performance Scrutiny Committee are attached and those for Audit & Governance will follow.		
The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to:	Recommendations Agreed.	CEO (R. Rogers)
(a) endorse the proposed Operating Model set out in the Business Case in Annex 1 as the basis of whole council transformation planning;		
(b) agree to Option 1 (see para. 66), to enable delivery of the estimated range of savings (£34m-£58m) to the fullest extent appropriate;	,	
(c) agree the delivery principles set out in paragraph 77 as the basis for future detailed decision making;		
(d) direct the Chief Executive to bring a costed proposal for implementation to Cabinet in October 2018.		

List published Decisions will (unless called in) becom	19 September 2018 be effective at 5.00pm on 26 Septemb	er 2018
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
10. Oxfordshire Joint Statutory Spatial Plan (JSSP); Local Development Scheme (LDS) and Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)		
Cabinet Member: Environment Forward Plan Ref: 2018/110 Contact: John Disley, Policy Strategy Manager Tel: 07767 006742/Amanda Jacobs, Principal Transport Planner Tel: 07825 314772		
The six Oxfordshire Councils and the Oxfordshire Local Enterprise Partnership (OXLEP) have signed the Oxfordshire Housing and Growth Deal with Government in March 2018. Under the terms of the Deal the local District and City authorities have committed to producing an Oxfordshire Joint Statutory Spatial Plan (JSSP) for submission to the Planning Inspectorate for independent examination by 31 March 2020 and adoption by 31 March 2021, subject to examination process.		
Oxfordshire County Council is an observer to the process however, Cabinet is asked to consider the Local Development Strategy (LDS) and the Draft Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) for the JSSP as well as the Scoping Document which outlines the level of detail and processes associated with the JSSP.		
The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to:	Recommendations Agreed.	DEE (J. Disley)
(a) note and support the Local Development Scheme (LDS) for the JSSP presented at Annex 1.		
(b) note and support the draft Statement of Community		

D	•	19 September 2018 ne effective at 5.00pm on 26 Septemb	er 2018
REC	COMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
	Involvement 2018 for the JSSP, presented at Annex 2, which will undergo a six-week period of formal public consultation.		
(c)	note and support the JSSP Scoping Document presented at Annex 3.		
11.	Elective Home Education Working Group Report		
Serv Forw Cont	inet Member: Education & Cultural ices vard Plan Ref: 2018/113 tact: Lauren Rushen, Policy Officer 07990 367851		
to ur reaso educ Dece comp Cour findir	Education Scrutiny Committee agreed adertake a short investigation into the cons for an increase in elective home cation (EHE) across the county in ember 2017. The working group crised of Councillor Waine and acillor Smith. This report presents the ags of the investigation and the mmendations to Cabinet.		
The	Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to:	Recommendations Agreed.	CEO (L. Rushen)
(a)	consider the recommendations of the Education Scrutiny Committee Elective Home Education working group;		radion)
(b)	agree which of the following recommendations the Cabinet will accept:		
	(i) further analysis is undertaken to understand the reasons for higher numbers of EHE at years 5 and 9 through modifications to the EHE		

Decision	<del>-</del>	19 September 2018 e effective at 5.00pm on 26 Sept	tember 2018
RECOMM	ENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
	parent/carer questionnaire;		
(ii)	further analysis is undertaken by officers on a school level and locality basis to understand the trends associated with EHE in locality areas to see if there are links with social deprivation, gender, adoption or SEND provision. This should be reported to the Committee in 6 months' time;		
(iii)	the concept of a 2-week cooling off period before taking pupils off the roll at a school is discussed as part of the attendance conference in July, or at another suitable occasion with head teachers, to gauge level of commitment from schools to understand whether it would be feasible to implement a system across Oxfordshire;		
(iv)	that the authority advocates that school leaders in include information about numbers of EHE children in their termly reports to governors/directors or other reporting mechanism that may exist;		
(v)	schools and colleges in the County are contacted and asked if they would be prepared to provide access to private candidates to expand the range of exam		

Decisio	•	19 September 2018 se effective at 5.00pm on 26 Septembe	er 2018
	MENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
(	centres in the County for EHE pupils;		
(vi)	) a named contact on the MASH is identified as a point of contact for EHE issues and concerns;		
(vii	i) a briefing is organised for representatives on the MASH about EHE and the role of the County Attendance Team in EHE;		
(vii	ii) the EHE questionnaire is further modified to give the ability to include a more detailed explanation from parents/carers, if they wish to share more detailed reasons for opting for EHE;		
(ix)	the New College, Swindon example of good practice is investigated and any information is shared with Further Education establishments in Oxfordshire. and;		
Ser Car and res	k the Director for Children's rvices, in consultation with the binet Member for Public Health d Education, to prepare a sponse a future meeting of the ucation Scrutiny Committee.		
Cabinet M Forward F Contact: S	Affing Report - Quarter 1 - 2018  Member: Deputy Leader Plan Ref: 2018/054  Sarah Currell, HR Manager – Systems Tel: 07867 467793		
This repo	ort gives an update on staffing		

List published Decisions will (unless called in) become	19 September 2018 ne effective at 5.00pm on 26 Septembe	er 2018
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
numbers and related activity during the period 1 April 2018 to 30 June 2018. It gives details of the actual staffing numbers at 30 June 2018 in terms of Full Time Equivalents. These are shown by directorate in Appendix 1. In addition, the report provides information on the cost of posts being covered by agency staff and an Agency Trend analysis in Appendix 2.		
The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to note the report.	Recommendations Agreed.	DHR (S. Currell).
13. Forward Plan and Future Business		
Cabinet Member: All Contact Officer: Sue Whitehead, Committee Services Manager Tel: 07393 001213		
The Cabinet Procedure Rules provide that the business of each meeting at the Cabinet is to include "updating of the Forward Plan and proposals for business to be conducted at the following meeting". Items from the Forward Plan for the immediately forthcoming meetings of the Cabinet appear in the Schedule at CA13. This includes any updated information relating to the business for those meetings that has already been identified for inclusion in the next Forward Plan update.		
The Schedule is for noting, but Cabinet Members may also wish to take this opportunity to identify any further changes they would wish to be incorporated in the next Forward Plan update.		
The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to note the items currently identified for forthcoming meetings.	Noted report and additions on Addenda.	DLG (A. Bartlett).

### ITEM 4 – QUESTIONS FROM COUNTY COUNCILLORS

Question received from the following Member:

#### 1. Question to Councillor Heathcoat from Councillor Laura Price

Could the Deputy Leader explain why, at a time when Oxfordshire is considering the implementation of a new operating model with significant implications for staff, the creation of 6 new Deputy Director posts was authorised? Where was the public business case for the appointments and how many other senior positions have been created, or are planned to be created without scrutiny?

#### Answer

Following Cabinet agreement to the Senior Management Review (SMR) in December 2016, the senior management of Communities (specifically the Environment & Economy areas) was left to be reviewed and adjusted during the restructuring processes underway. This was prior to the initiation of the Transformation programme or Fit for the Future. Following the appointment of the Strategic Director for Communities, his first major task was to review and put in place the senior management teams for the new Department. The first stage of that was to establish new Director posts to reflect the direction of the organisation and align this with other departments to fill out how Communities would engage in cross council work participate in the Corporate Leadership Team (CLT) – again this was prior to Transformation getting under way. The new Directors were then tasked with developing structures which could both meet our MTFP commitments, but also meet the emerging challenges we were facing at the time: unitary council, devolution, property issues arising from Carillion and the development of partnership working.

In the autumn of 2017 an operational decision was taken to add Assistant Director posts into Communities. These new posts would be part of restructuring activity to address the volume and nature of the work in Communities and the need to be prepared to deal with Fit for the Future. This injection of capacity and capability would enable us to address some major issues affecting Communities and indeed the Council. Most significant was how to:

- deal with a failing Carillion contract (prior to their collapse);
- develop and solidify the emerging Growth Deal and Housing Infrastructure Funding proposals;
- develop and deliver a new approach to asset management and investment;
- · completely overhaul how we deliver our capital programme; and
- develop and implement the department's part in developing and implementing the emerging target operating model (TOM).

To wait until we agreed the TOM to change our operational leadership structure was not operationally possible because we would not be able to achieve existing MTFP savings (particularly for Planning & Place directorate) and we would not be in a robust position to begin the implementation of the TOM. Similar decisions had been taken previously in People Directorate (both Adults and Children's Services). Although we had no absolute clarity on TOM when we started the process to recruit in January 2018, we knew enough about the emerging

layers, the major principles of transformation and the workload priorities to enable us to recruit the skills and capacities we would need to see us through.

### **Supplementary Question**

Given the assertion in the answer that these Assistant Directorships were crucial to achieving the savings in the existing Medium Term Financial Plan, could you outline where we will be able to scrutinise the impact of the Directorships in relation to delivering the savings? Would it have been more prudent to wait until we were rolling out the new operating model?

#### **Answer**

The appointments of the Assistant Directors within Communities are essential given the scope and scale of the Housing and Growth Deal, the HIFF fund and the half a million potential investment in the infrastructure in Oxfordshire. In reality, the Strategic Director and all directors in communities have been actively involved in the work around the Operating Model and have agreed the full range of principals that relate to the operation of that work, and therefore the appointments were seen as being essential in order for them to fulfil that agenda rather than to wait. The housing and growth deal was made many months ago and we have been actively involved in the Growth Board, we need to get on with fulfilling those requirements and in those circumstances the directors have made the necessary appointments that they feel are necessary in order to fulfil their service. The point on Scrutiny is that is if they fail or there are any issues around performance those will be addressed in Performance Scrutiny.

#### 2. Question to Councillor Lindsay-Gale from Councillor John Howson

Could you list the revenue balances for all maintained primary schools in Oxfordshire at the end of the 2017/8 financial year and show what percentage of revenue income the balance represents and how the percentage has changed since the end of the previous financial year, as well as the latest available number of pupils on the school roll.

#### **Answer**

Please find below the information required for all maintained primary schools in Oxford. This list includes the primary schools maintained as at 31 March 2018 and the data used for the number on roll is at October 2017.

#### **Supplementary Question**

Lord Agnew, the Minister of State told Auditors of Multi Academy Trusts (MATs) and committees that they may approve the virements of cash between schools in a Multi Academy Trust or a Multi Academy Committee. Is the Cabinet Member prepared to ask Multi Academy Trusts or Committees in Oxfordshire not to take money from one school to support another and especially with those Multi Academy Trusts with Headquarters outside Oxfordshire, not to transfer money away from any school in Oxfordshire because we have been a member of the F40 Group and it would be unfair if money was taken from a school in Oxfordshire to support a school in a much better funded part of the Country. If MATs won't agree with this, would the Cabinet Member be prepared to write to the Secretary of State, asking for the same virements arrangements that are available to schools in MATs to be available to the State schools and stand-alone academies.

### Answer

Yes, I would be very happy to support that. Oxfordshire money should be for Oxfordshire School and anything I can do protect that I would be happy to help.

Question on Notice: Summary of School balan-	ces				
NOTES:					
Negative balances are SURPLUS balances					
A negative number in "change" column indicates the ba	lance is a lower %	of ISB funding	than the previou	us year	

School	Balance at	Percentage	Balance at	Percentage	Change	
Primary Schools	1-Apr-2017	of ISB Funding %	31-Mar-2018	of ISB Funding %		Number on Roll
		(a)		(b)	(b) - (a)	(Oct 17)
Madley Brook	-8,570.80	0.70	-1,700.60	0.10	-0.60	309
Orchard Fields C School	-211,742.63	13.40	-143,761.55	10.00	-3.40	362
Queensway School	-140,055.32	11.30	-120,814.45	9.40	-1.90	365
The Grange School	1,301.02	-0.10	-8,775.72	0.80	0.90	310
Hardwick CP School	-230,867.31	28.50	-187,766.15	23.80	-4.70	196
Charlbury School	-70,191.25	9.00	-68,012.03	8.90	-0.10	189
Enstone School	-63,674.58	13.00	-50,527.96	10.90	-2.10	110
Great Tew County School	-26,037.55 -33,374.80	5.60 4.40	-19,470.84 -101.426.09	4.40 13.10	-1.20	100
Kingham School Middle Barton School	-33,374.60	5.20	-37,154.91	6.80	8.70	201
Five Acres School	12,520.09	-1.00	-34,729.82	2.80	1.60 3.80	137 356
Brookside School	-88,432.87	7.50	-114,533.38	10.50	3.00	295
Longfields and Nursery School	-62.920.17	5.10	-66,394,99	5.70	0.60	320
Whitchurch County School	-9,306.24	1.70	-6,260.67	1.10	-0.60	136
King's Meadow School	-76,774.11	5.20	-15,945.82	1.20	-4.00	396
Witney C School	24,298.77	-3.10	25,859.91	-3.30	-0.20	199
St Nicholas	-64,177.84	3.50	-114,579.25	7.60	4.10	412
Stonesfield School	-19,179.57	3.50	8,485.28	-1.60	-5.10	123
William Fletcher School	-95,210.93	9.80	-82,773.11	8.70	-1.10	256
North Kidlington School	-162,529.15	15.50	-190,039.45	17.70	2.20	299
Sandhills School	-93,538.80	7.90	-146,114.23	12.60	4.70	313
RAF Benson C School	-96,660.98	13.20	-40,243.60	5.50	-7.70	208
Stadhampton School	-39,852.11	8.90	-48,328.78	11.10	2.20	90
Tetsworth School Watlington School	-16,301.38	4.90	-20,693.77	6.70	1.80	57
Barley Hill School	-81,111.30 -192,896.79	7.60 11.30	-64,551.99 -101,003.11	6.50 6.50	-1.10	257
Mill Lane School	-13,079.53	1.70	8.079.96	-1.10	-4.80 -2.80	464 182
Nettlebed C School	-49,271.33	8.90	-9,260.71	1.80	-7.10	126
Sonning Common School	-33,254.97	2.30	-14,096.64	1.00	-1.30	384
South Stoke C School	-39,547.62	14.10	-40,501.73	14.20	0.10	48
Woodcote School	-76,155.61	10.50	-68,463.11	9.10	-1.40	187
Valley Road School	28,463.87	-3.40	20,905.07	-2.70	0.70	196
Badgemore C School	-16,856.03	3.40	-40,459.32	7.90	4.50	102
East Oxford School	-43,566.31	3.60	-87,934.63	7.00	3.40	278
Windmill School	-64,186.72	3.30	-131,594.91	6.40	3.10	630
Rose Hill School	86,128.28	-5.30	333,291.81	-25.90	-20.60	293
West Oxford C School	-36,058.74	4.40	-11,721.22	1.50	-2.90	206
Larkrise School	-78,004.42	4.80	-50,617.36	3.40	-1.40	405
Chilton County School Drayton CP School	-175,626.46 -35,988.27	18.90 6.10	-172,797.04 -41.742.21	20.70 6.90	1.80	229
Harvell School	-29.717.92	4.50	-60.457.80	8.60	0.80 4.10	160 181
Dry Sandford School	-15,251.18	3.10	-57,348.50	10.70		103
Botley School	-263,828.87	19.40	-241,159.85	18.60	-0.80	371
Fir Tree Junior School	-61,565.17	8.00	-76,383.86	9.50	1.50	212
Stockham School	-63,104.41	7.80	-71,574.53	8.80		210
Thomas Reade School	-181,012.19	21.60	-137,673.12	17.60	-4.00	209
Wood Farm School	-102,082.23	7.00	-95,070.80	6.20	-0.80	382
Edward Feild School	-123,587.73	10.60	-63,145.60	5.90	-4.70	207
John Hampden School	76,750.65	-5.80	-90,493.18	6.80	12.60	380
Stephen Freeman School	-236,320.04	17.90	-229,975.09	17.40	-0.50	382
Carswell C School	-228,558.51	22.00	-172,298.42	18.50	-3.50	246
West Witney County School	-98,438.85	8.50	-109,552.49	9.50	1.00	336
Long Furlong School	-16,954.94	2.00	-10,672.26	1.30		205
Caldecott School Langford Village C School	-198,431.95	14.50 11.10	-161,266.89 -205,232.82	12.30 13.80	-2.20	351
Bure Park School	-180,404.46 -17,012.81	0.90	-71,250.52	4.20	2.70	415
St John The Evangelist CE (A) School	-84,400.18	5.80	-86,874.11	6.30	3.30 0.50	446 400
Cropredy CE School	-18,297.77	2.60	-32,806.06	5.00	2.40	179
Chadlington CE School	-41,861.18	9.20	-38,937.77	8.60	-0.60	103
Hook Norton CE School	-75,433.79	8.60	-113,843.58	13.60	5.00	210
Bloxham CE School	-118,990.28	7.90	-112,867.53	7.60	-0.30	406
Fritwell CE School	-112,421.15	18.40	-68,918.11	12.40	-6.00	126
Charlton-on-Otmoor CE School	-5,841.39	1.40	20,036.79	-4.90	-6.30	82
Chesterton CE Aided School	-34,827.88	6.40	-33,985.80	6.50	0.10	129
Fringford CE School	-77,234.41	18.10	-48,579.86	11.80	-6.30	96
-	,_,		.,		0.50	

Lauratea CE Sahaal	20 407 46	4.60	44 300 00	4 00	0.70	
Launton CE School Finmere CE School	-29,497.16 -23,232.95	4.60 8.80	-11,398.98 -10.523.65	1.90 4.60	-2.70	145
Clanfield CE School	-14,111.56	2.70	-49,748.67	9.30	-4.20 6.60	29 143
Aston and Cote CE School	-22,186.37	3.90	-21,390.75	3.80	-0.10	150
Ducklington School	8,232.62	-1.10	-12,253.91	1.60	2.70	194
Hailey CE School	-55,407.38	12.00	-91,569.63	20.50	8.50	97
St Kenelm's C of E (VC) School	-43,487.14	9.50	-66,867.64	14.90	5.40	98
Bletchingdon Parochial CE School	-21,375.97	5.30	-37,728.65	10.10	4.80	71
Combe CE School	-53,975.89	11.50	-70,666.78	14.90	3.40	107
Woodstock CE School	-216,349.36	19.70	-111,574.79	10.60	-9.10	315
Bladon CE School	-59,047.94	13.90	-39,381.08	9.90	-4.00	97
Aston Rowant CE School	-56,032.10	13.50	-46,739.63	12.50	-1.00	72
Benson CE School	-73,380.90	10.00	-81,210.72	11.90	1.90	179
St Andrew's CE School, Chinnor	-39,911.70	4.20 11.90	-38,811.79 -63,244.52	3.80 18.00	-0.40	293
Clifton Hampden CE School Lewknor CE School	-39,304.18 -62,715.93	16.00	-65,319.40	16.60	6.10	71
Dorchester St Birinus CE School	-66,588.43	18.10	-43,642,36	10.80	0.60 -7.30	75 94
Great Milton CE School	-52,183.73	7.00	-79,435.16	12.50	5.50	148
Marsh Baldon CE (Controlled) School	-50,069.88	14.50	-55,792.17	17.40	2.90	54
Culham Parochial CE School	-100,480.29	33.60	-55,843.12	18.80	-14.80	44
Crowmarsh Gifford CE School	-98,413.18	12.80	-22,936.60	3.00	-9.80	208
Peppard CE School	-3,545.05	0.80	-21,214.66	4.90	4.10	99
Stoke Row CE School	-2,707.58	0.70	3,815.36	-0.90	-1.60	92
Church Cowley St James CE (Controlled) School	-542,331.86	30.70	-466,260.69	29.90	-0.80	406
St Andrew's CE School	-120,213.14	12.80	-123,956.27	13.70	0.90	239
New Hinksey CE School	-99,494.32	14.10	-86,822.49	13.80	-0.30	151
St Michael's CE School	-82,673.61	10.90	-70,860.35	9.20	-1.70	207
Cumnor CE School (Voluntary Controlled)	-12,388.65	1.60	-3,839.56	0.50	-1.10	209
The Ridgeway CE (C) School	-25,093.38	8.10	-25,315.86	8.10	0.00	68
Long Wittenham CE School Longworth School	-40,364.49 -35,098.26	9.80 9.60	-46,165.20 -32,041.56	11.00 8.70	1.20	96
Marcham CE (VC) School	-47,017.88	8.10	-5,949.82	1.00	-0.90 -7.10	81 146
Radley CE School	-56,895.80	11.70	-66,720.13	14.50	2.80	97
Stanford in the Vale CE School	-53,918.05	7.10	-67,760.43	8.70	1.60	204
St Michael's CE School (Steventon)	-49,193.95	7.20	-42,005.52	6.10	-1.10	184
Sunningwell CE School	-62,359.70	13.50	-69,269.77	16.40	2.90	91
All Saints' Sutton Courtenay CE School	-71,211.65	11.50	-84,056.91	13.70	2.20	154
St Nicholas' CE Infants' School & Nursery Class (Wallingford)	-49,653.33	7.60	-45,928.05	7.40	-0.20	163
St Nicolas CE School (Abingdon)	-154,275.10	10.20	-150,120.86	10.40	0.20	396
Blewbury Endowed CE School	-40,279.69	6.70	-12,037.67	2.00	-4.70	166
Hagbourne CE School	-87,287.38	10.90	-61,475.37	7.80	-3.10	204
Uffington CE School St Francis CE School	-27,212.34	6.10 3.10	-61,854.20 -75,825.27	14.20 7.00	8.10	93
Trinity CE School	-37,434.32 -183,109.55	16.40	-111,962.73	11.10	3.90	270
Beckley CE School	-70,323.19	11.80	-71,097.97	12.70	-5.30 0.90	281 128
Wychwood CE School	-84.217.01	8.30	-56,190.79	5.50	-2.80	285
St Swithun's CE School	4,244.52	-0.30	-23,804.03	1.90	2.20	368
Milton CE School (Abingdon)	-47,053,37	14.10	-65,934.20	18.80	4.70	72
St Leonard's CE School	30,366.65	-1.90	47,478.67	-3.10	-1.20	356
St John's Catholic School	-1,131.75	0.10	26,157.72	-2.50	-2.60	289
Great Rollright CE (Aided) School	-10,980.74	2.50	-15,232.60	3.50	1.00	102
Christopher Rawlins CE Voluntary Aided School	-3,694.03	0.40	5,499.39	-0.70	-1.10	208
Kirtlington CE School	-7,676.95	1.80	-39,332.24	9.70	7.90	78
St Edburg's CE (VA) School	57,504.96	-7.10	36,769.55	-4.50	2.60	257
Wootton-by-Woodstock CE (Aided) School	2,520.22	-0.80	-19,266.20	6.40	7.20	47
Ewelme CE School	-27,787.76	7.50	-28,244.03	7.40	-0.10	83
Little Milton CE School	-20,970.64	5.70	-9,489.63	2.70	-3.00	74
St Laurence CE (A) School Checkendon CE (A) School	-47,872.09 -51,848.25	10.90	-31,222.03 -49,847.76	7.30 12.10	-3.60	98
Goring CE Aided School	-7,615.96	0.90	2,715.47	-0.30	-0.20 -1.20	97
Shiplake CE School	-64,869.57	8.80	-86,111.72	11.70	2.90	233 177
Sacred Heart Catholic School (Henley-on-Thames)	2,743.80	-0.40	-27,470.90	4.10	4.50	168
St Mary's Catholic School (Bicester)	-261,296.87	26.40	-326,018.22	32.50	6.10	265
St Barnabas' CE (Aided) School	-7,533.18	0.90	-43,378.42	5.50	4.60	202
St Ebbe's CE (Aided) School	18,143.14	-1.40	-65,184.41	4.90	6.30	344
SS Mary & John CE School	-53,571.81	3.50	-34,475.29	2.40	-1.10	401
SS Philip & James' CE Aided School	-33,852.25	2.40	-136,120.61	9.60	7.20	417
St Joseph's Catholic School (Oxford)	-139,728.74	9.40	-155,960.45	10.70	1.30	412
St Aloysius' Catholic School	-38,341.19	4.50	-48,748.26	6.20	1.70	203
Appleton CE (A) School	-62,912.00	9.10	-46,866.12	6.80	-2.30	190
Ashbury with Compton Beauchamp CE (A) School	-63,958.50	17.90	-77,642.14	21.40	3.50	71
Shellingford CE (Voluntary Aided) School	-77,124.83	15.30	-71,209.32	14.20	-1.10	92
Wootton St Peter CE School	-75,501.21	15.80	-53,884.32	11.70	-4.10	96
St Amand's Catholic School	-17,130.71	3.30	-25,165.90	4.90	1.60	128

St Edmund's Catholic School	-51,323.17	6.20	-69,867.08	8.70	2.50	209
St Mary's CE (Aided) School (Chipping Norton)	-20,454.62	2.10	-33,538.69	3.30	1.20	258
All Saints CE (Aided) School	-150,301.38	9.50	-104,550.51	7.20	-2.30	410
Dunmore Primary School	-163,757.36	10.10	-88,715.87	6.00	-4.10	427
Shenington CE School	-67,305.08	15.40	-69,718.97	15.70	0.30	91
	-9,397,204.58		-9,087,876.77			